The Crystal Structure of Brownmillerite, Ca₂FeAlO₅

BY A. A. COLVILLE* AND S. GELLER

Science Center, North American Rockwell Corporation, Thousand Oaks, California 91360, U.S.A.

(Received 18 February 1971)

The crystal structure of brownmillerite, Ca₂FeA1O₅, has been determined from single-crystal data collected with a Buerger-Supper-Pace-Picker automatic diffractometer. There are four Ca₂FeA1O₅ formula units in an orthorhombic cell, space group *Ibm*2 ($C_{2\nu}^{22}$), with a = 5.584 (5), b = 14.60 (1), c = 5.374 (5) Å. Brownmillerite has sheets of corner-sharing [Fe, Al]-O octahedra (normal to b) connected to single chains of (Al, Fe)-O tetrahedra that are parallel to the *c* axis. Average [Fe, Al]-O and (Al Fe)-O distances are 2.001 and 1.797 Å, respectively, both with ± 0.015 Å limits of error ($= 3\sigma$). The calcium ion is surrounded by an irregular array of seven oxygen ions with average Ca-O distance 2.461 ± 0.015 Å. Refinement of site occupancy gave the distribution formula: Ca₂[Fe_{0.76}Al_{0.24}] (Al_{0.76}Fe_{0.24})O₅.

Introduction

Brownmillerite, the major constituent of the ferrite phase of Portland cement, was first described by Törnebohm (1897) and named 'celite'. Hanson, Brownmiller & Bogue (1928) reported that celite is $4CaO.Al_2O_3.Fe_2O_3$ and that a solid-solution series extends to $2CaO.Fe_2O_3$. Numerous studies have been made to determine the maximum aluminum content in this series. Yamauchi (1937) and Swayze (1946) independently estimated the end-member to be near $6CaO.2Al_2O_3.Fe_2O_3$ ($x \simeq 1.36$ and 1.33 in $Ca_2Fe_{2-x}Al_xO_5$, respectively). Cirilli & Burdese (1951) and Malquori & Cirilli (1952) reported the limiting composition to be approximately $Ca_2Al_{1.39}Fe_{0.61}O_5$, whereas Newkirk & Thwaite (1958) reported it to be $Ca_2Al_{1.41}Fe_{0.59}O_5$.

Natural occurrences of brownmillerite are quite rare [see Hentschel (1964) and Bentor, Gross & Heller (1963) for localities and mineral associations].

The crystal structure of brownmillerite was suggested by Büssem (1937, 1938). *Imma* was thought to be the most probable space group with the basic structure consisting of layers of corner-sharing AlO₆ octahedra alternating with layers of FeO₄ tetrahedra.

The structure of end member $Ca_2Fe_2O_5$ was solved by Bertaut, Blum & Sagnières (1959). The space group is *Pcmn* (D_{2h}^{16}), and the atomic arrangement is similar to that proposed by Büssem (1937, 1938) with perovskite-like sheets of FeO₆ octahedra (normal to **b**) sharing corners with chains of FeO₄ tetrahedra that are parallel to **a**. A refinement of this structure was carried out by Colville (1970) to verify the marked distortion of the oxygen octahedra and tetrahedra around the iron sites that had been reported by Grant (1969) as a result of Mössbauer studies (see Colville, 1970, for figures of the structural details).

A C 27B – 2*

Bertaut et al. (1959) concluded that Ca₂FeAlO₅ crystallizes in space group *Pnma* rather than *Imma* and that reflections with h+k+l=(2n+1) were too weak to be observed. X-ray diffraction evidence that a structural change might occur was first presented by Newkirk & Thwaite (1958). A plot of cell parameters versus composition shows a change of slope near x = 0.66. Smith (1962) gave a similar plot of the variation of cell constants and interplanar spacings with composition, with a change of slope near x = 0.66. He also measured the intensities of h0l reflections with h+l=2n+1 with increasing aluminum content and compared them with the intensity of the 103 reflection. These reflections decrease to zero at x = 0.66 (see Fig. 2 of Smith, 1962). Smith concluded that $Ca_2Fe_{2-x}Al_xO_5$ is not isostructural throughout the entire compositional range but exhibits a higher-order phase transition to space group *Imma* when x > 0.66. Results of a Mössbauer spectroscopic study of the $Ca_2Fe_{2-x}Al_xO_5$ $(x \le 1.0)$ system confirm the existence of two phases, but they indicate that the transition is of the first order (Geller, Grant & Fullmer, 1970).

The site occupancy of Fe³⁺ and Al³⁺ in brownmillerite has been of interest since Büssem (1937, 1938) hypothesized that all Fe³⁺ ions were in the tetrahedral sites and all Al³⁺ ions were in the octahedral sites. Cirilli & Burdese (1951) and Malquori & Cirilli (1952) suggested a random distribution of the Al^{3+} and Fe^{3+} ions in the two sites. Smith (1962) studied the relative intensities of the 0k0 reflections versus composition. He predicted that the Al³⁺ ions preferentially substitute in the tetrahedral sites until about half the tetrahedral sites are filled. Additional Al³⁺ ions are distributed equally in the two sites until, at Ca₂AlFeO₅, $\frac{3}{4}$ of the Al³⁺ ions are in the tetrahedral sites and $\frac{1}{4}$ are in the octahedral sites. Results of Mössbauer spectroscopy indicate that the Al³⁺ ions are not restricted to the tetrahedral sites below x = 0.50 (Geller *et al.*, 1970): the distribution for Ca₂Al_{0.5}Fe_{1.50}O₅ was found to be $Ca_{2}[Fe_{0.90}Al_{0.10}]$ (Fe_{0.60}Al_{0.40})O₅ (throughout this paper

^{*} On leave from Department of Geology, California State College at Los Angeles.

[] and () indicate octahedral and tetrahedral sites, respectively, when referring to formulae).

Although much has been written about brownmillerite, its structure has never been determined in detail. Indeed, when Grant, Geller, Wiedersich, Gouser & Fullmer (1968) found by Mössbauer spectroscopy that the magnetic structure of brownmillerite is different from that of $Ca_2Fe_2O_5$, they based the determination of the magnetic space group (Ic'm'm') on the crystal space group Icmm proposed by Büssem (1937, 1938) and by Smith (1962). Results of the present analysis show that the most probable crystal space group of Ca₂FeAlO₅ is *Ibm*2 not *Icmm*. A separate report (Geller, Grant & Colville, 1971) demonstrates that the magnetic space group of Ca₂FeAlO₅ is I₂bm'2' not Ic'm'm'.

The review of the crystal chemistry and magnetic structures of substituted Ca₂FeO₅ by Geller, Grant & Gonser (1971) was written in 1968.

Experimental

A single crystal of Ca₂AlFeO₅ was selected from the batch from which the single crystals were taken for the Mössbauer experiments (Grant et al., 1968; Geller et al., 1970). A sphere of diameter 0.33 mm was obtained using a sphere grinder (Schuyff & Hulscher, 1968; Crandall, 1970) similar to the one invented by Bond (1951) and mounted along the a axis. Weissenberg and precession photographs showed no evidence of twinning. Consistent with I_{cmm} (D_{2h}^{28}) or Ibm2 (C_{2v}^{22}), reflections hkl with h+k+l=2n+1, and 0kl with k, l=2n+1, were absent.

Cell parameters were obtained from precession photographs. Cell parameters and other crystallographic data for brownmillerite are:

a = 5.584 (5) Å	F.W. 242.99
b = 14.60(1)	Volume 438·12 Å
c = 5.374(5)	Z=4
$\varrho_{\rm calc} = 3.68 \ {\rm g.cm^{-3}}$	μ_l (Mo K α) = 59.7 cm ⁻¹ .

Using Mo Ka radiation and Zr-Y balanced filters, 358 independent intensities were collected with a Buerger-Supper-Pace-Picker automated diffractometer from 8 levels about **a** in the range $0.0 \le \sin \theta \le 0.5$. The scan rate was 2°/min, and background counts were taken on each side of the peaks at $\frac{1}{6}$ the total scan time. Intensities were corrected for absorption and Lorentzpolarization effects.

A refinement in space group Icmm (D_{2h}^{28}) (c < a < b)was attempted, using starting parameters from $Ca_2Fe_2O_5$ (Colville, 1970) suitably modified for the special positions* required in Icmm (see Smith, 1962, for a comparison of positions). Scattering factors were taken from Tokonami (1965) (O⁻²), from Tomiie & Stam (1958) (Al³⁺ and Ca²⁺) and from Watson & Freeman (1961) (Fe³⁺); they were corrected for the real parts of anomalous dispersion (Cromer, 1965). The least-squares program used throughout this work is a modification of program ORFLS (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1962) by Ibers, Hamilton, Johnson, Ellison & Levy and includes contributions from the imaginary parts of the scattering factors. The agreement factor for *Icmm* was 0.19, indicating that this probably was not the correct space group. A refinement in space group Pcmn gave an agreement factor of 0.13; however, convergence was not achieved and large parameter interactions were observed.

* However, the unreasonably short (A1Fe)-O(3) distance resulting from these positions made this space group seem unlikely.

Table 1. Calculated and observed amplitudes

h=0 h=1	h=1	h=2	h=2 h=3	h=4	h#4 h=5	h=5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ h = 1 \\ k = 1 \\ k = 0 $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

2312





Fig. 1. Stereogram of (a) Ca₂Fe₂O₅ and (b) Ca₂FeOA1₅ viewed approximately along [110].

Refinement in *Ibm2* (standard orientation *Ima2*), a non-centrosymmetric subgroup of *Icmm*, quickly led to an agreement factor of 0.027. The equation used for the weighting scheme had the form:

$$1/w = 1 + C/2[(F_{obs} - D) + |F_{obs} - D|] + A \exp\left[-\frac{F_{obs}}{B}\right] .$$

This gives the very low- and high-intensity reflections



Fig. 2. Comparison of the single chain of tetrahedra centered at $y=\frac{3}{4}$ in Ca₂Fe₂O₅ (unshaded bonds) and Ca₂FeA1O₅ (shaded bonds) viewed along the *b* axis. In each tetrahedron, O(2)1 is directly behind O(2).

slightly lower weight. With A = 5.0, B = 6.0, C = 0.02 and D = 20, this weighting gave

$$\{\sum [w(F_{obs} - F_{calc})^2]/(N_o - N_v)\}^{1/2} = 1.02$$
,

where w = weight, $N_o =$ number of observations, and $N_v =$ number of variables. The total number of variables, 44, included the Fe³⁺ occupancy of the octahedral site. The site refinement gave 0.76 (1) Fe³⁺ in the octahedral site, and results in a formula for brown-millerite of Ca₂[Fe_{0.76}Al_{0.24}] (Al_{0.76}Fe_{0.24})O₅. Table 1 gives a comparison of calculated and observed amplitudes. Ten high-intensity reflections, marked with an asterisk, were given low weights (count rate exceeded 4×10^4 counts/sec). One reflection, the 220, was weighted low because of intense, overlapping continuous radiation not eliminated by the Y filter.

Discussion of the structure

The structure of brownmillerite is similar to that of $Ca_2Fe_2O_5$ in that it is composed of sheets of perovskitelike, corner-sharing octahedra perpendicular to the crystallographic *b* axis (centered at y=0 and $\frac{1}{2}$) and connected to single chains of tetrahedra (centered at $y=\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$) that are parallel to the *c* axis. Fig. 1 shows a stereogram of part of the Ca₂FeAlO₅ structure and a stereogram of part of the Ca₂Fe₂O₅ structure for comparison; these were generated using program *ORTEP* (Johnson, 1965). Of the point symmetries in brownmillerite (Table 2) and Ca₂Fe₂O₅, only those of the octahedral cation site at (0,0,0) are different, being $\overline{1}$ in *Pcmn* and 2 in *Ibm*2. The main differences in the two structures are shown in Fig. 2. Whereas the single chains of tetrahedra at $y=\frac{1}{4}$ are essentially the same in the two structures, the chains at $y=\frac{3}{4}$ are related to these by $\overline{1}$ in *Pcmn* and by 2 in *Ibm*2. The largest translation required in the structural transition is $1\cdot 2$ Å by O(3).

2314

Interatomic distances and angles* are listed in Table

* Calculated with program ORFFE (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1964).

3. The average [Fe, Al]–O and (Fe, Al)–O distances are 2.001 and 1.797 Å, respectively. In Ca₂Fe₂O₅ (Colville, 1970), the average [Fe]–O and (Fe)–O distances are 2.016 and 1.878 Å; respectively. There is no aluminum isomorph of Ca₂Fe₂O₅. The average [Al]–O and (Al)–O distances from three rare earth and yttrium aluminum garnets refined by Euler & Bruce (1965) are 1.94 and 1.76 Å, respectively. Using these distances and those from Ca₂Fe₂O₅, one may calculate approximate expected distances in brownmillerite with distribution formula Ca₂[Fe_{0.76}Al_{0.24}] (Fe_{0.24}Al_{0.76})O₅. These are 2.00 and 1.79 Å for [Fe, Al]–O and (Fe, Al)–O, respectively.

Table 2. Parameters and standard deviations for brownmillerite, Ca₂FeAlO₅

Site	Ca 8(c)	[Fe, Al] 4(a)	(Al, Fe) 4(b)	O(1) 8(c)	O(2) 8(c)	O(3) 4(b)
x	0.0273 (2)	0	0.9283	0.2523 (10)	0.0680 (7)	0.8607 (10)
у	0.1087 (1)	0	1	0.9861 (2)	0.1439 (3)	ł
z	0.4920 (3)	0.0000	0.9533	0.2491 (10)	0.0246 (9)	0.6193 (11)
β_{11}	0.0045 (2)*	0.0015 (3)	0.0018 (4)	0.0027 (9)	0.0055 (9)	0.0036 (14)
β22	0.0008 (1)	0.0011 (1)	0.0004 (1)	0.0011 (1)	0.0012 (2)	0.0008 (2)
β ₃₃	0.0079 (3)	0.0029 (4)	0.0025 (6)	0.0069 (11)	· 0·0082 (15)	0.0074 (19)
β_{12}	0.0001(1)	0.0000 (1)	0	-0.0005(6)	0.0006 (3)	0
β_{13}	-0.0002(3)	0	-0.0007 (4)	-0.0002(8)	-0.0016 (11)	-0·0007 (13)
β_{23}	-0.0000(1)	0	0	-0.0006(4)	0.0008 (4)	0

* Form of the temperature factor is : $T = \exp \left[-(h^2\beta_{11} + k^2\beta_{22} + l^2\beta_{33} + 2hk\beta_{12} + 2hl\beta_{13} + 2kl\beta_{23}) \right]$.

Table 3. Interatomic distances and angles in Ca₂FeAlO₅

(Al, Fe) tetrahedron

(Al, Fe)-O(2) (Al, Fe)-O(3) (Al, Fe)-O(3)4† Mean	(2)	1·764 (4)* Å 1·825 (6) 1·836 (6) 1·797	Å	O(2)-(Al, O(2)-(Al, O(2)-(Al, O(3)-(Al,	Fe)- $O(2)1$ Fe)- $O(3)$ Fe)- $O(3)4$ Fe)- $O(3)4$ Mean	(2) (2)	121·3 (3)° 107·6 (2) 106·3 (2) 107·0 (2) 109·4	
O(2)-O(2)1 O(2)-O(3) O(2)-O(3)4 O(3)-O(3)4	(2) (2)	3·075 (8) 2·896 (6) 2·881 (6) 2·943 (5)			Wicali		107 4	
		ſF	e, All octal	nedron				
$[Fe, Al]-O(1) [Fe, Al]-O(1) [Fe, Al]-O(2) Mean O(1)-O(2) O(1)-O(1)2 O(1)-O(1)5 O(2)-O(1)2 O(2)-O(1)3 O(2)-O(1)5 O(2)-O(1)5 \\ (1) O(2)-O(1)5 \\ (1) O(2)-O(1)5 \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (2) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (1) \\ (2) \\ ($	(2) (2) (2)	1.945 (6) 1.933 (6) 2.126 (4) 2.001 2.778 (5) 2.834 (12) 2.856 (6) 2.966 (6) 2.897 (6)		O(1)-[Fe, O(1)-[Fe, O(1)-[Fe, O(2)-[Fe, O(2)-[Fe, O(2)-[Fe,	Al]-O(2) Al]-O(1)2 Al]-O(1)5 Al]-O(1)2 Al]-O(1)3 Al]-O(1)5 Mean		86·0 (1) 93·6 (3) 87·2 (1) 89·1 (1) 93·9 (1) 91·1 (2) 90·1	
		Ca	leium nolv	hedron				
Ca-O(1)1 Ca-O(1)2 Ca-O(1)3 Ca-O(3)		2·532 (5) 2·447 (5) 2·472 (5) 2·348 (3)	ierani poly	Ca-O(1)5 Ca-O(2) Ca-O(2)5	Mean		2·559 (5) Å 2·560 (6) 2·313 (5) 2·461	•
			Cation and				- 101	
[Fe, Al]–[Fe, Al]5 [Fe, Al]–(Al, Fe) (Al, Fe)–(Al, Fe)4		3·857 (3) 3·652 (3) 3·329 (3)	Cation-cat	ION [Fe, Al]–([Fe, Al]–((Al, Fe)–(D(1)-[Fe, Al] D(2)-(Al, Fe) D(3)-(Al, Fe)		168·0 (2)° 139·8 (2) 130·8 (3)	

Frequency of occurrence, distance or angle (estimated standard error).

† Symmetry transforms are numbered as follows: 1: $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$. 2: $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, z$. 3: $\frac{1}{2} + x, \overline{y}, \frac{1}{2} + z$. 4: $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$. 5: $\frac{1}{2} - \overline{x}, y, \frac{1}{2} + z$.

tively, and are in good agreement with the experimentally determined distances in brownmillerite.

Grant *et al.* (1968) report a distribution of $Ca_2[Fe_{0.68}Al_{0.32}]$ (Fe_{0.32}Al_{0.68})O₅ for a powder specimen of brownmillerite quenched from 1290 °C. Assuming the distribution corresponds to equilibrium at 1290 °C, the enthalpy difference $(H_t - H_o)$ for the tetrahedral and octahedral sites is -0.20 eV (*e.g.*, see Grant, Wiedersich, Geller, Gonser & Espinosa, 1967). Using this value for ΔH , and the distribution found by the X-ray diffraction analysis, the equilibrium temperature for the single-crystal material (furnace-cooled from ~1400 °C) is found to be ~750 °C. This accounts for the difference in ion distribution found by Mössbauer spectroscopy for the powder specimen (Grant *et al.*, 1968) and that found for the single crystal by the structure analysis.

Table 4. Parameters of vibration ellipsoids for Ca2FeAlO5

Amplitude Axis (r.m.s, Å)			Angles with crystallo- graphic axes (°)			
			а	Ь	с	
Ca	1	0.084	18 (10)	108 (11)	93 (6)	
	2	0.091	108 (10)	162 (11)	89 (10)	
	3	0.107	86 (6)	90 (9)	4 (6)	
[Fe, Al]	1	0.048	00 (2)	90 (2)	90	
. , .	2	0.065	90	90	0	
	3	0.106	90 (2)	00 (2)	90	
(Al, Fe)) 1	0.024	36 (14)	90	54 (14)	
	2	0.060	90	0	90	
	3	0.063	126 (14)	90	36 (14)	
O(1)	1	0.066	19 (17)	73 (16)	81 (12)	
	2	0.100	74 (15)	119 (19)	146 (17)	
	3	0.108	99 (14)	34 (14)	122 (17)	
O(6)	1	0.093	139 (11)	64 (9)	120 (11)	
()	2	0.109	131 (11)	116 (26)	53 (23)	
	3	0.113	88 (19)	39 (22)	52 (23)	
O(3)	1	0.075	11 (20)	90	79 (21)	
ζ,	2	0.093	90	0	90	
	3	0.103	101 (20)	90	11 (21)	

There is marked distortion of the oxygen octahedra and tetrahedra (Tables 3 and 4). The [Fe, Al]–O(2) distance is 2·13 Å compared with the other two [Fe, Al]–O distances of 1·945 and 1·933 Å. In the tetrahedra, the distortion is best seen by comparing O(2)–O(2)1 of 3·075 Å with the other three O–O distances, which average 2·91 Å. Both the octahedra and tetrahedra are elongated along the crystallographic *b* axis. The distortion particularly affects the magnitude and orientation of the principal axes of the thermal ellipsoid of vibration of the octahedral ion (Table 4). The vibration amplitude parallel to the largest principal axis, which exactly parallels the *b* axis, is roughly twice that of the others. (See Grant (1969), and Geller *et al.* (1971) for results of an investigation of the nuclear electric field gradient in the system $Ca_2Fe_{2-x}Al_xO_5$).

The calcium ion is surrounded by an irregular polyhedron of seven oxygen ions at distances ranging from 2.313 to 2.560 Å, with the mean distance of 2.461 Å.

References

- BENTOR, Y. K., GROSS, S. & HELLER, L. (1963). Nature, Lond. 199, 478.
- BERTAUT, E. F., BLUM, P. & SAGNIÈRES, A. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 149.
- BOND, W. L. (1951). Rev. Sci. Instrum. 22, 344.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). Report ORNL-TM-305. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1964). Report ORNL-TM-306. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- BÜSSEM, W. (1937). Fortschr. Min. 22, 31.
- BÜSSEM, W. (1938). Proc. Symposium of the Chemistry of Cement, p. 153, Stockholm.
- CIRILLI, V. & BURDESE, A. (1951). Ric. Sci. 21, 1185.
- COLVILLE, A. A. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 1469.
- CRANDALL, P. B. (1970). Rev. Sci. Instrum. 41, 1895.
- CROMER, D. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 17.
- EULER, F. & BRUCE, J. A. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 971.
- GELLER, S., GRANT, R.W. & COLVILLE, A.A. (1971). Solid State Comm. In the press.
- GELLER, S., GRANT, R. W. & FULLMER, L. D. (1970). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 31, 793.
- GELLER, S., GRANT, R. W. & GONSER, U. (1971). Prog. Solid State Chem. Vol. 5, p. 1. Edited by H. REISS and J. O. MCCALDIN. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- GRANT, R. W. (1969). J. Chem. Phys. 51, 1156.
- GRANT, R. W., GELLER, S., WIEDERSICH, H., GONSER, U. & FULLMER, L. D. (1968). J. Appl. Phys. 39, 1122.
- GRANT, R. W., WIEDERSICH, H., GELLER, S., GONSER, U. & ESPINOSA, G. P. (1967). J. Appl. Phys. 38, 1455.
- HANSON, W. C., BROWNMILLER, L. T. & BOGUE, R. H. (1928), J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 50, 396.
- HENTSCHEL, G. (1964). Neues Jb. Ml., Mh. 1, 22.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). Report ORNL-TM-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- MALQUORI, G. L. & CIRILLI, V. (1952). Proc. International Symposium on the Chemistry of Cement, p. 120, London.
- NEWKIRK, T. F. & THWAITE, R. D. (1958). J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 61, 233 (R. P. 2900).
- SCHUYFF, A. & HULSCHER, J. B. (1965). Rev. Sci. Instrum. 36, 957.
- SMITH, D. K. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 1146.
- SWAYZE, M. A. (1946). Amer. J. Sci. 244, 65.
- TOKONAMI, M. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 486.
- TOMILE, Y. & STAM, C. H. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 126.
- Токлевонм, А. Е. (1897). Tonindustrie Z. p. 1148.
- WATSON, R. E. & FREEMAN, A. J. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 27.
- YAMAUCHI, T. (1937). J. Japan. Ceram. Soc. 45, 88.